arXiv:1104.3831v1 [math.HO] 17 Apr 2011

# EXISTENCE OF A UNIQUE GROUP OF FINITE ORDER

SUMIT KUMAR UPADHYAY[1] AND SHIV DATT KUMAR[2]

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
MOTILAL NEHRU NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
ALLAHABAD, U. P., INDIA

Abstract. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then cyclic group $Z_n$ of order $n$ is the only group of order $n$ iff g.c.d. $(n, \varphi(n)) = 1$, where $\varphi$ denotes the Euler-phi function. In this article we have given another proof of this result using the knowledge of semi direct product and induction.

## 1. Introduction

One of the main problem in group theory is to classify groups upto isomorphisms. For example one may ask: How many non-isomorphic groups of order $n$ are there? and what are they ?. The Fundamental theorem of Abelian groups says that every finite Abelian group is the direct product of cyclic groups of prime power order, which classifies the non-isomorphic Abelian groups of order $n$. But there is no classification of non-isomorphic non-Abelian groups of order $n$. For every natural $n$, there must be a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_n$ of order $n$. If $n$ is prime, then $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is the only group of order $n$. Also if $n = pq$, where $p$ & $q$ are distinct primes such that $p$ does not divides $(q-1)$, then by the Sylow theorem, $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is the only group of order $n$. Thus there is a natural question: what are conditions for existence of a unique group of order $n$. Dieter Jungnickel proved ([1]) that if greatest common divisor (g.c.d.) $(n, \varphi(n)) = 1$, then $Z_n$ is the only group of order $n$. In this article we have given another proof using the knowledge of the semi direct product and induction.

**Definition 1.1.** *Let $H$ and $K$ be two groups and let $\phi$ be a homomorphism from $K$ to $Aut(H)$. Let $G = \{(h,k) | h \in H \& k \in K\}$. Define multiplication on $G$ as $(h_1, k_1)(h_2, k_2) = (h_1\phi(k_1)(h_2), k_1k_2)$. This multiplication makes $G$ a group of order $\mid G \mid = \mid H \mid\mid K \mid$, where $(1, 1)$ is the identity of $G$ and $(h,k)^{-1} = (\phi(k^{-1})(h^{-1}), k^{-1})$ is the inverse of $(h,k)$. The group $G$ is called the semi-direct product of $H$ and $K$ with respect to $\phi$.*

*Remark* 1.1. If $\phi$ is a trivial homomorphism from $K$ to $Aut(H)$, then $\phi(k_1) = I$ (identity), $\forall k_1 \in K$. Therefore $(h_1, k_1)(h_2, k_2) = (h_1\phi(k_1)(h_2), k_1k_2) = (h_1h_2, k_1k_2)$. Hence semi direct product of $H$ and $K$ is the direct product of $H$ and $K$.

**Lemma 1.2.** *For a given natural number $n$, if g.c.d. $(n, \varphi(n)) = 1$, then $n$ must be square free, where $\varphi(n)$ is the Euler phi function.*

*Proof.* Suppose contrary that $n = p^\alpha m$, where $\alpha > 1$ and $p^\alpha$ does not divide $m$. Then $\varphi(n) = (p^\alpha - p^{\alpha-1})\varphi(m)$. This shows that $p^{\alpha-1} \mid \varphi(n)$ and $p^{\alpha-1} \mid n$. Hence $(n, \varphi(n)) = p^{\alpha-1}$, which is a contradiction. Hence $n$ is square free. ☐

---

[1]upadhyaysumit365@gmail.com, [2]sdt@mnnit.ac.in.

**Proposition 1.3.** *If cyclic group $Z_n$ is the only group of order $n$, then $n$ must be square free.*

*Proof.* By the Fundamental theorem of Abelian groups, we know that if $n = p_1^{n_1} \ldots p_r^{n_r}$, where $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r$ are distinct primes, the number of non-isomorphic Abelian groups of order $n$ is $p(n_1) \ldots p(n_r)$, where $p(n_i)$ denotes number of partitions of $n_i$. Since $Z_n$ is unique group of order $n$, therefore $n$ must be square free. □

*Remark* 1.2. Let $G$ be a group of order $n$, and $p$ be a prime dividing $n$ and $P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup. If $\mid P \mid= p$, then every non identity element of $P$ has order $p$ and every element of $G$ of order $p$ lies in some conjugate of $P$. By the Lagrange's theorem distinct conjugates of $P$ intersect in the identity, hence in this case the number of elements of $G$ of order $p$ is $n_p(p-1)$, where $n_p$ denotes number of distinct conjugates of $P$.

Suppose Sylow $p$-subgroups for different primes $p$ have prime order and we assume none of these are normal. Then the number of elements of prime order is greater than $\mid G \mid$. This contradiction would show that at least one of the $n_p's$ must be 1 (i.e., some Sylow subgroup is normal in $G$).

For example, suppose $\mid G \mid= 1365 = 3.5.7.13$. If $G$ were simple, we must have $n_3 = 7, n_5 = 21, n_7 = 15$ and $n_{13} = 104$. Thus

$$\begin{gathered}\text{the number of elements of order } 3 = 7.2 = 14\\ \text{the number of elements of order } 5 = 21.4 = 84\\ \text{the number of elements of order } 7 = 15.6 = 90\\ \text{the number of elements of order } 13 = 105.12 = 1260\\ \text{Thus the number of elements of prime order } = 1448 >\mid G \mid.\end{gathered}$$

**Proposition 1.4.** *Let $G$ be a group of order $p_1p_2 \ldots p_s$, where $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s$ are distinct primes. Then $G$ is solvable.*

*Proof.* Proof is by induction on $s$. If $s = 1$, then $G$ is a cyclic group. Then $G$ must be solvable.Thus result is true for $s = 1$. By induction hypothesis assume that result is true for $s = k$ i.e. every group of order $p_1p_2 \ldots p_k$ is solvable. Now to prove the result for $s = k+1$. Since every Sylow $p$-subgroups for different primes $p$ have prime order, so by the Remark 1.2, some Sylow subgroup is normal in $G$. Suppose $H$ is a normal Sylow $p_i$-subgroup of $G$ for some $i$. Then $G/H$ is a group of order $p_1p_2 \ldots p_{i-1}p_{i+1} \ldots p_{k+1}$. By induction assumption $G/H$ is solvable group. Since $H$ is a cyclic subgroup of $G$, $H$ is solvable. Since $H$ and $G/H$ are solvable, therefore $G$ is also solvable.

Hence by induction hypothesis result is true for every $s$.

□

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then cyclic group $Z_n$ of order $n$ is the only group of order $n$ iff g.c.d. $(n, \varphi(n)) = 1$, where $\varphi$ denotes the Euler-phi function.*

*Proof.* First assume that cyclic group $Z_n$ of order $n$, is the only group of order $n$. Then by the Proposition 1.3, $n = p_1p_2 \ldots p_r$ where $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r$ are distinct primes. So $\varphi(n) = (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 2) \ldots (p_r - 1)$. Suppose g.c.d. $(n, \varphi(n)) \neq 1$. Then there must exist two primes $p_i$ and $p_j$ such that $p_i \mid p_j - 1$, where $i \neq j$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$. Then $n = p_ip_jm$(say). Hence there exists a non-Abelian group $H$ of order $p_ip_j$. Take another group $K = Z_m$. Then the semi-direct product of $H$ and $K$ is a non-Abelian group of order $n$, which contradicts our assumption that $Z_n$ is the only group of order $n$, so our supposition is wrong. Therefore g.c.d. $(n, \varphi(n)) = 1$.

Conversely, suppose g.c.d. $(n, \varphi(n)) = 1$. Then by the Lemma 1.2, $n$ must be square free i.e. $n = p_1p_2 \dots p_r$ where $p_1, \dots, p_r$ are distinct primes. We show that $Z_n$ is the only group of order $n$. Proof is by induction on $r$. If $r = 1$. Then $n = p_1$ i.e. $n$ is a prime. Since every group of prime order is cyclic, $Z_n$ is the only group of order $n$. Thus result is true for $r = 1$. Suppose by induction hypothesis that the result is true for $r = k$ i.e. for $n = p_1p_2 \dots p_k$, $Z_n$ is the only group of order $n$. Now to prove the result for $r = k + 1$ i.e. for $n = p_1p_2 \dots p_{k+1}$, Take $H = Z_m$, where $m = p_1p_2 \dots p_k$ such that g.c.d. $(m, \varphi(m)) = 1$ and $K = Z_{p_{k+1}}$. Consider the semi direct product of $H$ and $K$. It exists, because semi direct product of any two groups exist. Since $H$ is a cyclic group, so $o(Aut(H)) = (p_1 - 1) \dots (p_k - 1)$. Then any homomorphism from $K$ to $Aut(H)$ must be a trivial homomorphism, because if not, then g.c.d. $((p_1-1)(p_2-1) \dots (p_k-1), p_{k+1}) \neq 1$. So g.c.d. $((p_1-1)(p_2-1) \dots (p_k-1), p_{k+1})$ must be equal to $p_{k+1}$. Therefore $p_{k+1} \mid (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) \dots (p_k - 1)$, so $p_{k+1} \mid (p_1-1)(p_2-1) \dots (p_{k+1}-1)$ i.e. $p_{k+1}$ divides $\varphi(n)$, which is not possible because g.c.d. $(n, \varphi(n)) = 1$. Thus homomorphism must be trivial. Therefore by the Remark 1.1, semi-direct product of $H$ and $K$ is the direct product of $H$ and $K$, which is a cyclic group of order $p_1p_2 \dots p_{k+1}$, for $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k+1}$ are all distinct primes. Since $H$ is the only group of order $m$, $Z_{p_1p_2 \dots p_{k+1}}$ is the only group of order $n = p_1p_2 \dots p_{k+1}$. Hence by induction hypothesis result is true for every $r$.

Now let $G$ be any group of order $p_1p_2 \dots p_kp_{k+1}$ where $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k, p_{k+1}$ are all distinct primes. Then we want to prove the existence of a normal subgroup $H$ of order $p_1p_2 \dots p_k$ and a subgroup $K$ of order $p_{k+1}$. Since all $p_i$'s are primes, by Sylow theorem $G$ has a subgroups of order $p_i$, $\forall i$. Now we show the existence of $H$.

By the Proposition 1.4, $G$ is solvable, so commutator $G'$ is either identity subgroup or a proper subgroup of $G$ (because if $G' = G$, then $G$ can not be solvable). If $G' = \{e\}$, where $e$ is the identity of $G$. Then $G$ must be an Abelian group. Therefore $G$ is cyclic by the Fundamental theorem of Abelian groups. Suppose $G'$ is a proper subgroup of $G$. Then $o(G') = p_1p_2 \dots p_i$, where $1 \leq i < k + 1$. So the factor group $G/G'$ is an Abelian group of order $p_{i+1} \dots, p_{k+1}$. Therefore by Cauchy's theorem $G/G'$ has a normal subgroup $H/G'$ of order $p_{i+1} \dots, p_k$. Hence $H$ is also a normal subgroup of $G$ and $o(H) = p_1p_2 \dots p_k$. This proves the existence of a normal subgroup $H$. Now consider the semi direct product of $H$ and $K$, which is isomorphic to $G$ for $o(G) = o(HK)$. Hence the result is proved. $\square$

**Acknowledgment** We sincerely thank Professor Alain Valette for his remarks and comments on the proof of the Theorem 1.5.

## References

[1] Dieter Jungnickel, On the uniqueness of the cyclic group of order $n$, *American Mathematical Monthly*, **99 (6)** (1992), 545 - 547.

[2] Ramji Lal, Algebra Vol I, Shail Publication, (2002).

[3] Dummit and Foote, Abstract Algebra, (1995).